SHORT COMMUNICATION



Retention of carotenoids in orange-fleshed sweet potato during processing

B. Vimala · Bala Nambisan · Binu Hariprakash

Revised: 21 January 2011 / Accepted: 5 February 2011 / Published online: 3 April 2011 © Association of Food Scientists & Technologists (India) 2011

Abstract The retention of carotenoids was studied in the storage roots of ten sweet potato clones possessing different intensities of dark orange-flesh colour in four different processing methods—oven drying, boiling, sun drying and frying. The results indicated that the extent of retention varied with the method of processing. The highest retention was observed in oven drying (total carotenoids 90%–91% and β -carotene 89%–96%) followed by boiling (total carotenoids 85%–90% and β -carotene 84%–90%) and frying (total carotenoids 77%–85% and β -carotene 72%–86%). The lowest retention of total carotenoids (63%–73%) and β -carotene (63%–73%) was recorded in the sun drying method.

Keywords Retention · Carotenoids · Orange-fleshed sweet potato · Processing

Introduction

Sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L) Lam) is an important tuber crop grown in the tropics, sub-tropics and warm temperate regions of the world for its edible storage roots. The roots are used as a source of carbohydrate and dietary fibre. Dietary fibre has the potential to reduce the incidence

B. Vimala (⊠) · B. Nambisan · B. Hariprakash Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Sreekariyam

Thiruvananthapuram 695017, Kerala, India e-mail: vimalactcri@yahoo.co.in

B. Nambisan e-mail: balanambisan@yahoo.co.uk

B. Hariprakash e-mail: hariprakash_1@yahoo.co.in of a variety of diseases in man including colon cancer, diabetes, heart diseases and digestive disturbances (Palmer 1982). The flesh colour of the root varies from various shades of white, cream, yellow to dark-orange depending upon the carotenoid content. In the orange-fleshed sweet potato the major carotenoid present is β -carotene. Carotenoids have been linked with the enhancement of immune system and decreased risk of degenerative diseases such as cardiovascular problems, age-related macular degeneration and cataract formation (Byers and Perry 1992). Sweet potato is also a rich source of provitamin A, vitamin B1 (Thiamin) and vitamin C (Huang et al. 1999).

Vitamin A deficiency is considered a serious problem of public health significance in over 70 countries. It is an essential micronutrient for normal immune function of the body. Vitamin A is usually produced in animal body. In plants, it is present in the form of provitamin A. Of the approximately 600 carotenoids found in nature, only three are important precursors of vitamin A in humans beings viz, β -carotene, $\dot{\alpha}$ -carotene and β -cryptoxanthin (Jaarsveld et al. 2005) and β -carotene is the major provitamin A of most carotenoid containing food (Parker 1996). Recent studies associated with the consumption of carotenoid rich food showed the decrease of the incidence of certain cancers in human beings (Gester 1993). Food fortification, dietary diversification and vitamin A supplementation are the recommended strategies to control vitamin A deficiency. Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato (OFSP) forms an important source of carotene rich food (Woolfe 1992). Jalal et al. (1998) suggested that a food based approach may be a successful way of reducing the prevalence of vitamin A deficiency. It is reported that one medium sized OFSP can provide about twice the β -carotene needed for the recommended daily requirement of vitamin A. The roots are usually consumed after processing like boiling, baking or

making fried chips. In order to alleviate the vitamin A deficiency, it is necessary to get information regarding the retention of the total carotenoids and β -carotene in the different processing methods. The true retention is an important component defining the ultimate importance of carotenoids in the consumed orange-fleshed sweet potato. Hence, the objective of the present study is to find out the variability of carotenoids between the clones and the retention of total carotenoids and β -carotene content arising from different processing methods.

The material for the study comprised of storage roots of ten orange-fleshed sweet potato from a poly cross breeding programe. Twenty clones possessing different intensities of orange flesh colour were selected from sweet potato germplasm maintained at Central Tuber Crops Research Institute (CTCRI). Hundred and fifty vine cuttings from each clone were planted in an isolation block in 3 replications. The vine cuttings of 20-30 cm length from the apical portion of the vines were selected for planting. The clones were randomly planted to get equal chances of intercrossing with each other. Seeds were collected, scarified and germinated to raise the progeny. Only orange-fleshed clones were selected and undesirable clones were rejected at each stage of evaluation. The clones for the present study were selected based on flesh colour, root shape and dry matter content. Each clone was planted in a plot size of 3.0×2.4 m in randomized block design with 3 replications. The distance between and within the ridges were 60 and 20 cm respectively accommodating 60 plants/ clone/replication and the recommended package of practices of CTCRI (2004) was followed. Farmyard manure at the rate of 5 t/ha was broadcasted before preparing the ridges. Chemical fertilizers like urea (125 kg), rock phosphate (125 kg) and muriate of potash (85 kg)/ha were applied at the time of planting. Interculturing operations (earthing up and weeding) were carried one month after planting and 55 Kg urea, 125 kg ammonium sulphate /ha were applied along the sides of the ridges. After 2 months, earthing up was done again. The trial was harvested after 90 days.

About 5 kg of randomly selected tubers were divided into five portions to perform different types of processing *viz.* fresh, boiling, frying, oven-drying and sun-drying. Roots were peeled, cut into small pieces and 100 g were used for processing. The root samples were analyzed (triplicate) for dry matter, total carotenoids and β -carotene content. The same experiment was repeated for three seasons (summer, kharif and rabi) during the year 2008 and the data statistically analyzed. The statistical analysis of variance and comparison of mean values with least significance difference were carried out with the package Genstat DE (Genstat 2008).

Fresh: The total carotenoids and β -carotene of each clone was determined on fresh raw unprocessed samples immediately after harvest.

Boiling: Unpeeled samples of root size of 100 g were boiled in distilled water for 30 min at 100°C. Two grams of this material after cooling was used for further analysis.

Frying: Roots were peeled made into thin slices and blanched for 1 min in boiling water. The samples were dried in oven at 36°C overnight. These were fried in vegetable oil until crispy and the carotenoids were estimated.

Oven-drying: The roots were peeled and sliced into single layer circular pieces of 2 mm thickness, spread in a tray and oven dried at $50-60^{\circ}$ C for 24–48 h.

Sun-drying: Similar pieces to above were placed under sun for 48 h.

About 2 g of tissue was randomly selected (in triplicate) from the different processing methods to estimate the total carotenoids and β -carotene based on the procedure described in AOAC (2000). Briefly, root samples were extracted with hexane-acetone mixture (60+ 40) till colourless. The extract was washed with distilled water to remove acetone and dried using anhydrous sodium sulphate. The optical density was measured at 450 nm. β -carotene was separated from the extract by column chromatography using aluminium oxide and eluted using hexane + acetone (96+4). The concentration of total carotenoids and β -carotene was calculated using a calibration curve with β -carotene as standard.

The sweet potato clones included in the study showed different intensities of dark orange-flesh colour. The flesh colour of the root was directly associated with the β -carotene content. Similar results were reported by Simonne et al. 1993; Kidmose et al. 2007. The anova table and data on total carotenoids, β -carotene and dry matter content are given in

Table 1Analyses of 10 orange-fleshed sweet potato clones

| Source | Variate | d.f. | S.S. | m.s. | v.r. | F.pr. |
|------------------|-------------------|------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|
| Method × variety | Total carotenoids | 36 | 133131 | 3698 | 1.00 | 0.466 |
| | Retention total | 36 | 1042.0776 | 28.9466 | 220.80 | <.001 |
| | β-carotene | 36 | 4.638E+01 | 1.288E+00 | 912.58 | <.001 |
| | Retention β | 36 | 1448.4131 | 40.2337 | 348.98 | <.001 |

Table 2 Effect of different processing methods on total carotenoids and $\beta\mbox{-}carotene$

| Variety | Method | Total carotene (mg/100 g.f.w) | Percentage of retention (%) | β-carotene (µg/g.f.w.) | Percentage of retention (%) | Dry matter (%) |
|---------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| KS-7 | Fresh | 7.5±0.23 | 100 | 5.9±0.33 | 100 | 25±0.17 |
| | Boiling | 6.3 ± 0.01 | 85 | 5.0 ± 0.10 | 85 | |
| | Frying | 5.8±0.14 | 77 | 4.8±0.13 | 82 | |
| | Oven drying | 6.7 ± 0.02 | 90 | 5.5 ± 0.20 | 94 | |
| | Sun drying | 5.2 ± 0.01 | 70 | 4.2 ± 0.20 | 72 | |
| ST-14-1 | Fresh | 14.1 ± 0.06 | 100 | 12.8 ± 0.33 | 100 | 21 ± 0.17 |
| | Bolling | 12.8 ± 0.20 | 91 | 10.7 ± 0.43 | 84 | |
| | Frying | 12.1 ± 0.02 | 86 | 09.5 ± 0.33 | /4 | |
| | Oven drying | 13.5±0.06 | 96 | 11.4 ± 0.16 | 89 | |
| 077 14 14 | Sun drying | 10.2 ± 0.33 | 73 | 09.3 ± 0.20 | /3 | 22 1 0 1 5 |
| ST-14-16 | Fresh Boiling | 12.8 ± 0.42 11.1+0.32 | 100 | 11.2 ± 0.36 10.0±0.01 | 100 | 22±0.17 |
| | Erving | 10.3 ± 0.32 | 87 | 10.0 ± 0.01 | 86 | |
| | Oven draing | 10.5 ± 0.25 | 01 | 10.1 ± 0.12 | 00 | |
| | Oven drying | 11.0 ± 0.23 | 91 | 10.1 ± 0.13 | 90 72 | |
| ST 14 24 | Sun arying | 08.7 ± 0.27 | 08 | 08.2 ± 0.13 | /3 | 22 + 0.26 |
| \$1-14-34 | Boiling | 11.6 ± 0.32 10.5±0.33 | 91 | 09.6 ± 0.42 08.0±0.33 | 83 | 23±0.26 |
| | Erving | 10.3 ± 0.33 09 7+0 41 | 84 | 07.8 ± 0.13 | 81 | |
| | Oven drying | 10.6 ± 0.10 | 01 | 07.3 ± 0.13 | 90 | |
| | Sun draing | 10.0 ± 0.10 07.2±0.12 | 62 | 06.7 ± 0.00 | 90 68 | |
| CT 14 40 | Erosh | 8 0±0 38 | 100 | 00.0 ± 0.30 | 100 | 24±0.17 |
| 51-14-47 | Boiling | 8.9 ± 0.38 8.1 ± 0.33 | 90 | 07.5 ± 0.30 06.9 ± 0.26 | 91 | 24±0.17 |
| | Frving | 7.6 ± 0.22 | 85 | 06.4 ± 0.06 | 85 | |
| | Oven drving | 8.5±0.16 | 95 | 07.0 ± 0.06 | 93 | |
| | Sun drying | 6.2 ± 0.05 | 69 | 05.1 ± 0.23 | 68 | |
| ST-14-53 | Fresh | 13.7 ± 0.38 | 100 | 121+0.33 | 100 | 21+0.17 |
| 51 14 55 | Boiling | 11.8 ± 0.36 | 86 | 10.3 ± 0.40 | 85 | 21=0.17 |
| | Frying | 10.9 ± 0.36 | 80 | 09.6±0.30 | 79 | |
| | Oven drying | 12.4±0.32 | 91 | 11.0±0.33 | 91 | |
| | Sun drying | $08.9 {\pm} 0.47$ | 65 | 07.6 ± 0.46 | 63 | |
| ST-14-6 | Fresh | 13.1±0.38 | 100 | 11.5±0.30 | 100 | 24±0.17 |
| | Boiling | 11.8 ± 0.38 | 91 | 10.0 ± 0.40 | 87 | |
| | Frying | $10.8 {\pm} 0.46$ | 82 | $08.8 {\pm} 0.23$ | 77 | |
| | Oven drying | 12.2 ± 0.40 | 93 | $10.6 {\pm} 0.40$ | 92 | |
| | Sun drying | 09.2 ± 0.56 | 70 | $08.1 {\pm} 0.23$ | 70 | |
| ST-14-9 | Fresh | 11.2 ± 0.32 | 100 | 09.8 ± 0.36 | 100 | 22 ± 0.26 |
| | Boiling | 10.1 ± 0.33 | 89 | $08.8 {\pm} 0.01$ | 89 | |
| | Frying | 09.6 ± 0.27 | 85 | $08.1 {\pm} 0.06$ | 82 | |
| | Oven drying | $10.6 {\pm} 0.17$ | 94 | 09.4 ± 0.10 | 96 | |
| | Sun drying | 08.1 ± 0.15 | 72 | 06.9 ± 0.10 | 71 | |
| SV-3-17 | Fresh | 15.5 ± 0.15 | 100 | 13.6 ± 0.12 | 100 | $20 {\pm} 0.17$ |
| | Boiling | 13.7 ± 0.28 | 89 | 12.2 ± 0.30 | 89 | |
| | Frying | 12.7 ± 0.38 | 82 | 11.2 ± 0.26 | 82 | |
| | Oven drying | 14.7 ± 0.17 | 95 | 12.7±0.26 | 93 | |
| | Sun drying | 10.8 ± 0.29 | 70 | 09.0 ± 0.26 | 66 | |
| SV-3-22 | Fresh Boiling | 10.9 ± 0.38 09.8 ± 0.32 | 100 90 | $09.2 \pm 0.13 \\ 07.8 \pm 0.23$ | 100 85 | 23±0.17 |
| | Frying | 09.0 ± 0.32 | 83 | $06.6 {\pm} 0.20$ | 72 | |
| | Oven drying | 10.3 ± 0.12 | 95 | $08.6 {\pm} 0.30$ | 93 | |
| | Sun drying | $07.9 {\pm} 0.20$ | 73 | $06.2 {\pm} 0.20$ | 67 | |
| LSD (5%) Variety × Method | 0.062 | 0.34 | 0.034 | 0.31 | _ | |

The values for Total carotene and β -carotene is the mean±SD of 9 (n=9) replicates experiments

Tables 1 and 2. The storage roots of all the orange-fleshed clones possessed low dry matter content which varied between 20% and 25%. The data showed that only one clone KS-7 had 25% dry matter however, the total carotenoids (7.47 mg/100 g.f.w.) and β -carotene (5.85 mg/100 g.f.w.) in this clone was low compared to other clones. Negative associations of flesh colour and dry matter was observed in the present study. Similar results were reported by Jones et al. (1976), Jones (1977), Zhang and Xie (1988).

The results indicated that the total carotenoids in the fresh root samples ranged from 7.47 to 15.47 mg/100 g.f.w. and β -carotene varied from 5.85 to 13.63 mg/100 g.f.w. (KS-7 and SV3-17). In all the clones more than 80% of total carotenoids was β -carotene. According to Takahata et al. (1993), β -carotene of sweet potato ranged from 10 to 26,000 µg/100 g or 0.01 to 26.6 mg/100 g.f.w. Hagenimana et al. (1999) reported β -carotene level of 11.8 mg/100 g in the variety Xushu 18. However in the present study majority of the clones possessed >10 mg/100 g.f.w. total carotenoids (15.47 mg/100 g.f.w.) and β -carotene (13.63 mg/100 g.f.w.) in the fresh and processed sample was observed in the clone SV3-17.

Results indicated that the orange fleshed varieties varied significantly in their carotenoid content and retention capabilities. Highest retention of total carotenoids (90%-91%) β-carotene (89%-96%) was observed in the oven drying method followed by boiling (85%-90% and 84%-90%) in all the clones. In the frying method, the retention of total carotenoid was 77%-85% and β -carotene was 72%-86%. Least retention of total carotenoids (63%-73%) β-carotene (63%-73%) was recorded in the sun-drying process. Variation in retention of carotenoids may be due to the difference in the enzymatic oxidation during processing. This was also in agreement with the study by Ameny and Wilson (1997). The influence of different processing procedures on the carotene content of orange-fleshed roots have been reported in sweet potato (Huang et al. 1999), carrots (Debjani et al. 2005), and cassava (Chavez et al. 2007). More than 100% retention of carotenoids was reported in Spinach and Winged Bean through steam and water blanching (Dietz et al. 1988). No loss of β-carotene in chopped or grated raw sweet potato was observed by Jaarsveld et al. (2006). This showed that there was no or little enzymatic oxidation in cut fresh samples which accounted for the 100% retention. In all the other procedures, occurrence of less retention values could be due to leaching of vitamin A precursor as in sun drying or thermo chemical reaction occurring during cooking times (Chandler and Schwartz 1988). Moreover, variation in processing is also affected by slice thickness of pieces, temperature, duration of frying, and stage of maturity (Adelaide et al. 2007). The softness of steamed food is generally influenced by the cooking time. According to Bradbury and Holloway (1988) different varieties have different cooking time due to inherent variations in starch content, composition as well as the level of soluble fibres. High retention of $\dot{\alpha}$ and β -carotene during processing and storage could be attributed to the facts that cooking makes it easy for the complete elution of β -carotene in processed foods than in fresh. In methods like boiling and frying, the comparatively low retention in these processed samples may be due to the dripping off of the pigment. However, in oven-drying and sun-drying, it can be due to the thermo chemical reaction and leaching up of the pigments.

In the present study highest retention of total carotenoids and β -carotene was found in the oven-drying process, though it is not a common method of processing for human consumption. But, the high carotenoid retention may be beneficial for the production of value added sweet potato products. The present study showed that SV-3-17, ST-14-1 and ST-14-53 clones possessed high total and β-carotene content in the fresh as well as in the different processing methods. Chandler and Schwartz (1988) had reported that carotene deficient varieties are more susceptible to degradation than carotene rich ones. This observation was contradictory to the present study, in relation to the variety KS-7 where the carotenoid retention was more in than 80% (Table 2). Effects of various traditional processing methods on carotene content of sweet potato have been reported by Bengtsson et al. (2008), K'osambo et al. (1998), Hagenimana et al. (1999) etc. Bengtsson et al. (2008) had observed low retention values to the fresh unprocessed samples while K'osambo et al. (1998) could find decreased carotene content in boiled samples. A loss of 20%-30% was observed by Hagenimana et al. (1999) in CIP cultivars subjected to boiling as well as drying into chips compared to initial carotenoid amount in fresh storage roots. Retention of carotenoids after boiling is more important since majority of common people consume sweet potato roots after boiling. Products like sweet potato juice or salads could be recommended for maximum absorbance of carotene content in diet. The people who were traditionally dependent on the consumption of white-fleshed local cultivars are unaware of the nutritive value of orangefleshed sweet potato as most of the varieties selected by the consumers are based on the best taste, flavour and texture rather than those having a better nutrient profile (Chattopadhyay et al. 2006). The reduction of carotenoids in the sun-drying process may be due to the detrimental effect of the sun-light on the stability of carotenoid pigment. However moderate amount of β-carotene (63%–73%) present in the sun-drying process may be useful for the production of sweet potato flour and orange coloured crispy chips.

The high carotenoid retention in different processing methods indicates the possibility of significantly improving the nutritive value by making more acceptable products to the consumers. The retention of carotenoids in different processing methods helps to validate the techniques for obtaining food products of higher nutritional quality.

Acknowledgements The work was supported by Life Science Research Board, Defense Research and Development Organization, Government of India. The authors also thank the Director, Central Tuber Crops Research Institute, Trivandrum for providing the infrastructure facilities.

References

- Adelaide DM, Inocent G, Marlyse L, Richard EA, Michel NJ, Felicite TM (2007) Losses in β-carotene and vitamin C due to frying of plantain (*Musa paradisiaca*) chips. Afr J Biotechnol 6(3):280– 284
- Ameny MA, Wilson PW (1997) Relationship between Hunter colour and β-carotene content in white-fleshed African sweet potato. J Sci Food Agric 73:301–306
- AOAC (2000) Official methods of analysis, 16th edn. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC
- Bengtsson A, Namutebi A, Larsson AM, Svanberg U (2008) Effects of various traditional processing methods on the all-trans-βcarotene content of orange-fleshed sweet potato. J Food Compos Anal 21:134–143
- Bradbury JH, Holloway WD (1988) Chemistry of tropical root crops: significance for nutrition and agriculture in the Pacific. Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research, Canberra, pp 53– 77
- Byers T, Perry G (1992) Dietary carotenes, vitamin C and vitamin E as protective antioxidants in human cancers. Annu Rev Nutr 12:139–159
- Chandler LA, Schwartz SJ (1988) Isomerization and losses of *trans*-âcarotene in sweet potatoes as affected by processing treatments. J Agric Food Chem 36:129–133
- Chattopadhyay A, Chakraborty I, Mukopadhayay SK, Kumar PK, Sen H (2006) Compositional changes of sweet potato as influenced by cultivar, harvest date and cooking. Acta Hortic 703:211–218
- Chavez AL, Sanchez T, Ceballos H, Rodriguez-Amaya DB, Nestel P, Tohme J, Ishitani M (2007) Retention of carotenoids in cassava roots submitted to different processing methods. J Sci Food Agric 87:388–393
- CTCRI (Central Tuber Crops Research Institute) (2004) Agrotechniques of Tuber Crops, p 32
- Debjani D, Utpal R, Runu C (2005) Retention of β -carotene in frozen carrots under varying conditions of storage. Afr J Biotechnol 4 (1):102–103

- Dietz JM, Kantha SS, Erdman JW Jr (1988) Reversed phase HPLC analysis of á- and β -carotene from selected raw and cooked vegetables. Plant Food Hum Nutr 38:333–341
- Genstat (2008) Genstat Discovery Edition, DE3. SP1, VSN International Ltd. UK
- Gester H (1993) Anticarcinogenic effect of common carotenoid. Int J Vitam Nutr Res 63:93–121
- Hagenimana V, Carey EE, Gichuki ST, Oyunga MA, Imungi JK (1999) Carotenoid contents in fresh, dried and processed sweet potato products. Ecol Food Nutr 37:455–473
- Huang AS, Tanudjaja L, Lum D (1999) Content of alpha-, betacarotene, and dietary fiber in 18 sweet potato varieties grown in Hawaii. J Food Compos Anal 12:147–151
- Jaarsveld PJ, Faber M, Tanumihardjo SA, Nestel P, Lombard CJ, Benadé AJ (2005) β-carotene—rich orange fleshed sweet potato improves the vitamin A status of primary school children assessed with the modified-relative-dose response test. Am J Clin Nutr 81:1080–1087
- Jaarsveld PJ, Marais DW, Harmsea E, Nestel P, Rodriguez-Amaya DB (2006) Retention of β-carotene in boiled, mashed orange-fleshed sweet potato. J Food Compos Anal 19:321–329
- Jalal F, Nesheim MC, Agus Z, Sanjur D, Habicht JP (1998) Serum retinol concentrations in children are affected by food sources of β-carotene, fat intake, and antehelminthic drug treatment. Am J Clin Nutr 68:623–629
- Jones A (1977) Heritability of seven sweet potato root traits. J Am Soc Hortic Sci 102:440–442
- Jones A, Dukes PD, Cuthbert FP (1976) Mass selection in sweet potato: breeding for resistance to insects and diseased for horticultural characteristics. J Am Sci 103:374–376
- Kidmose U, Christensen LP, Agili SM, Thilsted SH (2007) Effect of home preparation practices on the content of provitamin A carotenoids in coloured sweet potato varieties (*Ipomoea batatas* Lam.) from Kenya. Innov Food Sci Emerg Technol 8:399–406
- K'osambo LM, Carey EE, Misra AK, Wilkes J, Hagenimana V (1998) Influence of age, farming site, and boiling on pro-vitamin A content in sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* (L.) Lam.) storage roots. J Food Compos Anal 11:305–321
- Palmer JK (1982) Carbohydrates in sweetpotato. In: Villareal R, Griggs T (eds) Sweetpotato. Proceedings of the First International Symposium. AVRDC. China, pp 135–140
- Parker RS (1996) Absorption metabolism and transport of carotenoids. FASEB J 10:542–551
- Simonne AH, Kays SJ, Koehler PE, Eilenmiller RR (1993) Assessment of B-carotene in sweet potato breeding lines in relation to dietary requirements. J Food Compos Anal 6:336–345
- Takahata Y, Noda T, Nagata T (1993) Varietal differences in chemical composition of the sweet potato storage root. Acta Hortic 343:770
- Woolfe JA (1992) Sweet potato: an untapped food resource. Cambridge University, Cambridge
- Zhang LY, Xie YZ (1988) Inheritance of flesh colour and its correlation with other traits in sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*). J Agric Sci 4:30–34